

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,632	(03/19/2004	Kazuo Fukai	8305-243US (NP150-1)	7847	
570	7590	01/12/2006		EXAMINER		
AKIN GU	MP STRA	USS HAUER & F	FASTOVSKY	FASTOVSKY, LEONID M		
ONE COM 2005 MAR		QUARE EET, SUITE 2200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADEI		•	3742			

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Tuth							
e address							
Y (30) DAYS,							
this communication.).							
o the merits is							
niner. a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). n PTO-152.							
onal Stage							

					TW		
	-	Ap	olication No.	Applicant(s)			
		10.	/804,632	FUKAI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Exa	aminer	Art Unit			
			nid M. Fastovsky	3742			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Massions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply epty received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. tutory period will app will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be to ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	NN. imely filed in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 28 Septer	mber 2005.				
·		b)⊠ This action					
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance e	except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the	merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex pa</i>	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-13 and 15</u> is/are reject Claim(s) <u>6 and 14</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrice	e withdrawn fro					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 200 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	04 is/are: a)⊠ tion to the drawi the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance. So required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ponation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Involve)		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	·-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/804,632 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 9 -11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motoharu (JP07292943) in view of Ek et al (6,737,611).

Motoharu discloses an electric floor heating system comprising an electric heater installed under the floor ([002.003]), an upper floor 6, a heat diffusion aluminum material 5 having thickness of 0.135 mm ([0011]), and a lower plywood 4 having a thickness of 10 mm ([0011]), and a floor temperature between 27 and 29 degree C which is below 42 degree C. However, he does not explicitly disclose the relationship between thicknesses of the floor parts and power in W/m square. Ek discloses floor heating device materials having a power between 60w/M square and 80W/m square (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 65-67).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Motoharu's invention to include a material having W/M square as taught by Ek and select the structure of different parts of the floor heating systems as a choice that would have been determined by the user having a desired result in mind since Motoharu is capable of so perform.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,632 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

3. Claims 4-5, 7-8, 10, 12-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motoharu in view of Seki et al.

Motoharu discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose a foldable heating panel and boards connected by belts. Seki teaches a foldable floor heating panel 10 composed from at least 3 heating boards11. It would have been obvious tone having ordinary skill in the art to modify Motoharu's invention to include a foldable floor heating panel as taught by Seki to ease a floor heating system installation and provide belts through the holes as a design choice, because Applicant has not disclosed that these belts provide an advantage or solve a stated problem.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. In response to applicant's argument that Motoharu does not meet the claims, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,632

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Page 4

Examiner Art Unit 3742

Imf

ROBIN EVANS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER